# Children, decision-making, and human rights

World Social Work Week 18 March 2022

Children's and adults' services Salford City Council

















### In today's session

- Legal overview
- Developing and supporting good practice
- Case examples
- Questions

















#### Legal overview

Martin Sexton
Principal manager (LPS)
Salford City Council

















#### **Outline**

- Mental Capacity Act
- What is deprivation of liberty?
- Who can authorise DoL?
- Practice points
- Resources

















#### **Mental Capacity Act 2005**

- Most provisions apply from 16<sup>th</sup> birthday
- Five principles:
  - 1. Presumption of capacity
  - 2. Scope to make unwise decisions
  - 3. Supported decision-making
  - 4. Best interests decisions
  - 5. Least restrictive option

















#### **Mental Capacity Act 2005**

- Having mental capacity means:
  - Understanding the relevant information
  - Retaining it long enough to make a decision
  - Using and weighing the information
  - Communicating the decision somehow
- Capacity is specific to the decision and time
- Incapacity must be related to disturbance in, or impairment of, the mind or brain

















#### **Mental Capacity Act**

- Young person has capacity under the MCA:
  - YP makes the decision (if it's his/hers to make)
  - Parents probably can't over-rule
  - High Court can over-rule using inherent jurisdiction (but will be very cautious in doing so)
- Young person lacks capacity under the MCA:
  - Parents make best interests decisions/use PR <u>if</u> their PR is not in question
  - But parents can't force professionals to act against their judgment
  - Court of Protection to rule in disputes

- European Convention on Human Rights
- Article 5
  - Qualified right to 'liberty and security of person'
  - State can interfere for a "legitimate purpose"
    - "detention of a minor ... for educational supervision" (5.1.d), "Persons of unsound mind" (5.1.e)
  - Must be necessary and proportionate
    - Necessary = person will be harmed otherwise
    - Proportionate = positive balance of benefit over harm
  - Subject to "speedy determination by a court" (5.4)

#### Three components:

- Objective component "confinement in a particular restricted place for a nonnegligible length of time"
- 2. Subjective component lack of valid consent (*Fraser*/MCA)
- 3. State responsibility –the deprivation is "imputable to the state"



- What counts as DoL (and the justification for it) depends on the child's age and level of maturity
- Relevant comparison is with "another child of the same age" – <u>not</u> another child with the same disabilities
  - E.g. children younger than 10 unlikely to be "confined"
- Different legal frameworks apply at different ages
- Some restrictions can be justified using other legal frameworks, e.g. health and safety legislation

















#### Law Society guidance on 16-17 year olds:

- Restrictions on contact with others
- Locked doors
- Use of "safe spaces", physical restraint etc
- Restrictions on phone use, internet etc
- Medication to manage behaviour
- Restricted access to belongings
- Supervision in the home/community
- Fixed timetables
- All "compared with other young people"



#### Who can authorise DoL?

- 0-16
  - Parents can authorise DoL if their parenting has not been questioned
  - If parenting is in question then High Court (not Family Court) can authorise under the inherent jurisdiction
  - Local authority <u>can't</u> authorise DoL even if PR is shared under a care order

















#### Who can authorise DoL?

16-17

- Parents cannot authorise DoL (Re D, 2019)
- Young person lacks capacity under the MCA:
  - Court of Protection makes a best interests decision
- Young person has capacity under the MCA:
  - Young person is placed in s25 accommodation
  - Young person is detained under the Mental Health Act
  - OR
  - High Court ruling under its inherent jurisdiction



### **Practice points**

- Each case is different and very sensitive to its facts
- 'Blanket' restrictions are not acceptable
- What does the child/young person want?
- Can the restrictions be avoided/reduced, now/later?
- Are the restrictions 'typical' for a child of that age?
- Update permissions as child turns 16 especially for DoL
- 16+: most young people will have capacity under the MCA (but may have problems with anger, trauma etc)
- Liberty Protection Safeguards: a new scheme covering DoL for 16-17 year-olds: consultation now open!

## Childrens Services – Identifying a Shared Need.

Shared learning identified.

Collaborative working between Childrens and Adults Services.

SQAUD approach – a partnership approach to identify service need and shared objectives.

















### Childrens Services - Embedding Learning into Practice.

- A visual aide, to support the understanding of the DOLS pathway and promote consistency in practice.
- A top tips guide translating the legal framework into practice examples.
- Delivery of multi agency training and reflection.

#### What Next -

- Upskilling champions assigned to each service area intended impact to embed learning and provide high support to individual teams.
- Evaluate the impact on outcomes for our children and families.

















#### Resources

- Mental Capacity Act 2005 (legislation.gov.uk)
- Deprivation of liberty safeguards: a practical guide | The Law Society
- Deprivation of liberty and 16-17 year olds: Practice Guide (researchinpractice.org.uk)
- 39 Essex Chambers | COP Cases 39 Essex
   Chambers
- <u>Liberty Protection Safeguards factsheets</u> <u>GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)</u>

