

Date: 13th February 2017

Time: 13:00 until 16:00

Chair: Simon Westwood, Independent SSCB Chair

Venue: Committee Room 4, Civic Centre, Chorley Road
Swinton, Manchester, M27 5AW

Minutes

1. Welcome and Apologies

Chair N/A

Chair of meeting:

Westwood, Simon Independent SSCB Chair

Members in attendance:

Mr Allsop, Chris	Superintendent, Greater Manchester Police
Ms Blackburn, Deborah	Assistant Director: Public Health Nursing
Ms Clancy, Karen	Deputy Director of Integrated Governance, Lead Named Nurse GMW Mental Health NHS Foundation Trust
Rabbi Grant, Simon	Lay Member
Ms Hubber, Sharon	Assistant Director Specialist Services, Salford City Council
Ms Patel, Andrea	Designated Nurse, NHS Salford CCG
Ms Seale, Manjit	Assistant Chief Executive, National Probation Service
Ms Shannon, Lana	Head of Safeguarding, Salford City Council
Ms Thorpe, Francine	Director of Quality & Innovation, NHS Salford Clinical Commissioning Group

Officers in attendance to represent SSCB members:

Ms Burton-Francis, Sheron Interchange Manager, Representing Ms N Pugh

Officers from the SSCB in attendance:

Ms Bentley, Vivienne (minutes) Senior Business Support Officer, SSCB

Ms Slack, Tiffany Interim Business Manger, SSCB

Other agencies or groups invited onto the Board as co-opted members and advisors

Ms Lunn, Natalie	CAF Coordinator, SCC (Item 02)
Ms Scott, Jackie	Deputy Designated Nurse: Safeguarding Children and LAC, NHS Salford CCG
Mr Canning, Steve	Childrens District Service Manager (Item 02)
Ms Staley, Jeanette	Head of Community Safety

Apologies received from:

SSCB Members:

Ms Armfield, Karen	Head Teacher, Boothstown Methodist Primary School
Ms Browse, Laura	Head of Primary Care, NHS England
Dr Dixit, Kalpesh	Designated Doctor, SRFT
Ms Doyle, Mary (Vice Chair)	Chief Superintendent, GMP, Salford
Ms Kelly, Clare	Assistant Director of Nursing, SRFT
Ms McGovern, Jennifer	Assistant Director Joint Commissioning
Ms Murray, Louise	Deputy Chief Executive, CVS,
Ms Pugh, Nicola	Community Director (Manchester, Salford and Trafford) Cheshire & Greater Manchester CRC
Ms Ramsden, Charlotte	Director of Adult and Children's Services, Salford City Council

Ms Lunn informed that the number of CAFs have reduced and noted that they may not increase. The reason assessments are not completed at early intervention level is usually due to capacity issues, this will be the same when the family assessment is introduced.

All services can complete the family assessment; social workers would not complete a family assessment.

Ms Thorpe commented that this will be a big change for a range of agencies and noted that training is available and asked if there are any plans to reinforce this after a few months of implementation. The family assessment will be used on an ongoing basis and it would be positive to communicate to agencies how well it is being used. Ms Lunn informed that feedback will be given on an individual basis, assistance given to those who need it. Feedback can be given to partners after a few months to show how the assessment has been used.

The Chair highlighted that there is a statutory requirement to engage in early help, therefore there is not a choice; all partners need to work with early intervention and prevention. This is a tool to assist with this work.

Dr Clancy asked if training dates have been circulated yet. Ms Lunn informed that they have and agreed to circulate them again.

Dr Clancy asked if there is a process in place to archive the CAF forms. Ms Lunn assured that the CAF form will be removed from the website and completed CAFs will be archived. Professionals who use a CAF form will be advised to use the Family Assessment form for all future assessments.

Ms Slack informed that an item on family assessments on the latest news page on the SSCB website and Ms Slack is working with Ms Lunn on revising the thresholds of need document.

Actions	Lead
2.1 Circulate the family assessment training dates	Natalie Lunn

3. Theme: Child Sexual Abuse and Exploitation/Missing Lana Shannon

The Chair commended the inclusion of the Salford CSE Profile within the report, which is a comprehensive document that includes a number of embedded documents.

Ms Shannon informed that she has worked with Chris Walker on this report which demonstrates that there is a lot of work ongoing in this area. The CSE sub group is the CSE & Sexual Abuse sub that across the board the focus has been on sexual exploitation, sexual abuse is a wider issue.

Ms Hubber informed that the Protect Board links local services with the central team. A manager from Salford Children's Services has moved into the team; this is to assist specialist staff to see the bigger picture and ensure that work is being done in the right way. Chris Alsop Chairs the Protect Board and there is a real drive to ensure it is not only a sub group leading an Action Plan, but also has a strategic role and drive.

Ms Thorpe noted that there is an increase in crimes; the narrative suggests this is due to an increase in reporting. Mr Alsop informed that the data sets are based on the flagging systems used, these systems have improved therefore it can be inferred that the reporting has increased but it cannot be stated as a fact. Ms Shannon noted that the number of cases held by Protect would increase if there was higher level of CSE cases.

Ms Seale found the report really helpful and asked if on Pg 13 of the CSE Profile there could be an opportunity to mention that the National Probation Service (NPS) manage sex offenders, some of these offences are included in the definition of CSE. NPS works closely with the sex offender unit, in the CSE profile there will be a proportion of sex offenders. Community Rehabilitation Company does not supervise sex offenders.

Mr Allsop informed that currently Salford is the only area to have completed the CSE profile.

Ms Thorpe noted that the training of taxi drivers is good practice and asked how likely it is that licensing authorities will make it a requirement of renewing taxi licenses. Ms Hubber informed that the elected member is clear that the taxi license will not be renewed if the safeguarding training has not been undertaken. We need to ensure that this training is available regularly so drivers are able to earn a living. The Chair noted that this should be communicated to Greater Manchester and wider as taxi licenses can be obtained from other authorities and work in Salford. This is a good practice model.

The Chair commented on the social media offences and challenging the stereo type and noted it is interesting to note the difference between young women and young men and also interesting to look at the numbers that are going missing, the primary age of young people going missing is 11-15, this is a vulnerable age group and the link around missing children is important. We need to identify consistent risk management for offenders who are identified as a potential risk. Ms Hubber informed that Joanne Rawlinson, Head of Public Protection Investigation Unit (PPIU) has joined the Protect Board. This will allow us to aggregate some of the data with other local authorities, there is a lot of work ongoing around data coming to Protect Board, and this will give opportunity to give bigger picture.

Mr Allsop informed that a risk assessment tool for offenders was being looked at when Mr Allsop worked centrally and informed that Lucy Pearson from the Avert Team is looking at this locally.

Ms Shannon informed that Phil Varghese is a member of the CSE and sexual abuse subgroup and brings information and data on missing children to the CSE and sexual abuse subgroup. The links between missing children and CSE are looked at carefully.

The Chair noted that the evaluation of Black Eyes and Cottage Pies has been discussed previously and it was concluded that it was successful but it is not clear how funding can be continued. It was asked if there has been any further discussion to sustain this programme. Ms Shannon informed that there has been some train the trainer work undertaken and the focus is now on the younger, primary school age group. It has not yet been identified where the funding will be sourced from; PCC has funded this project so far. Community Safety Partnership has asked Children's Services for funding, money is not available. Consideration has been given to asking the school forum, however as this needs to be offered to all children, schools already pay in. Other funding options are only available to voluntary sectors.

It was noted that there is an under representation of BME as victims of CSE, it is not known why and consideration needs to be given to provide targeted information to some communities. Rabbi Grant highlighted that the information in the programmes needs to be culturally acceptable and would also need community gatekeepers on board to help change the mindset of the community.

Ms Shannon asked if the percentage difference would be the same for other areas of abuse for ethnic minority groups. The Chair suggested a broader campaign might be required.

The Chair noted that there is work across Greater Manchester Police to improve the specification of IT systems and asked when this is expected to be complete. Mr Allsop informed that the work is ongoing; it was projected to be concluded by the end of this year, although this timescale may have changed.

The report includes some commentary about offenders continuing to contact victims whilst in custody on remand. It was asked if this is reported back to court. Ms Seale informed that they are a remand prisoner, not subject to statutory services from CRC or NPS. If the service is aware that the prisoner is having contact, they can inform the prison. If they are using the official telephone in prison, this can be blocked. If they are using a mobile, checks can be made and the prison can take action, they will also look at if another offence has been committed. The police would inform the CPS and the magistrate or judge. Initially evidence would need to be collected, if the prisoner is also licensed to CRC or NPS they would also liaise with the prison.

Ms Slack noted that there is a Protect process map embedded in the report and asked if this needs to be cross referenced with the current multi agency pathway available on the SSCB website. **It was agreed to** cross reference the process map with the pathway.

Ms Hubber informed that there are a clear set of regulations for young people at risk of CSE and placed in another local authority, it is a statutory requirement to notify the authority when this happens. External local authorities are being challenged if they place a child in Salford and do not highlighted CSE element. Ms Hubber informed that there is a system in place to check the health list with the local authority list and identify any differences. Looking at what the minimum information required is and devising a questionnaire to ask this information. We need to understand the responsibility to other area's looked after children and the needs of those children.

4. Performance Report Q3 – Child Sexual Abuse and Exploitation/Missing Andrea Patel

Ms Patel informed that the performance report included with the papers for this meeting is based on the meeting theme of CSE. The CSE report discussed earlier supersedes the report embedded in the performance report. The background details included in the report on Missing from Home is useful.

The Chair highlighted that the detail of report shows the progress made regarding the process. This is a detailed report and includes commentary, The Chair commended Ms Patel and the Learning, Improvement, Performance and Quality Assurance group for the work they have undertaken. The Chair also thanked Mr Allsop and Mr Walker for sourcing administrative support for this group.

Ms Hubber commented that the report is good, and would like to see where the children are running to. Many looked after children missing from care have run home. It was also noted that sometimes reported missing early, need to identify if they are really missing or just late home.

The Chair highlighted that this is a really good service; he has noted this at the Greater Manchester Partnership Board and asked for permission from SSCB to share this information. **This was agreed.**

Ms Patel informed that the group is aware that the dataset is not yet quite right; work is ongoing to address this.

Ms Seale commented that it is useful to have the information, particularly regarding the young people placed in Salford as this does significantly impact on services.

The Chair noted that the data indicates that a two year old is currently missing. Ms Hubber informed that although this child is missing, the parents are with the child.

Mr Allsop informed that there is a national document looking at how police record missing and absent children. It is probable that the absent categorisation will go and therefore there will be a spike in the number of children reported as missing. The Chair expressed his concern that this will have an impact on the system and also, if they were not recorded as missing up to now and they should be, then why has this not been happening.

The number of children missing from education is reducing. It is not clear what impact the new guidance has had on this. The guidance came in in September, might be a change visible this term as a result of this guidance, the education sub group will monitor.

5. Rapid Response Training

Manjit Seale Verbal update

Ms Seale asked for advice in relation to rapid response training, this is something the SSCB asked the strategic training group to take forward as a result of a previous CDOP report. The last three rapid response training events have been cancelled due to low take-up of places. There have been some conversations with police and health agencies; the view is that this training is provided in-house by the agencies the training targets. Ms Seale has been liaising with Mick Lay, CDOP Chair, his view is that it is a SSCB decision whether to continue to provide this training or not. Ms Seale wants to establish whether agencies do have the relevant in-house training before removing this training from the programme.

Ms Blackburn informed that she has checked with commissioned services and asked if they receive adequate rapid response training, they receive an overview but do not receive the adequate level of training in-house. It was suggested that the low numbers requesting a place may be due to a lack of understanding of the training's aims and objectives. This is important training. The Chair asked if it is an issue in other areas, Ms Seale confirmed that there are similar issues in Bolton and Wigan. Ms Seale asked partners to encourage take up of places at this training, there is a flyer that is distributed to advertise the training that provides information on the course content. **It was agreed** that as this training is a recommendation from CDOP, Ms Seale will ask the SSCB training team to advertise the course again.

Ms Thorpe informed that from a health perspective, CCG commission a number of different services, if training is available they can put leverage in to say the commissioners expect there to be take up of training places. Public Health also commission services, there are also provider services represented on the SSCB.

Ms Patel said it would be useful to look at who would deliver the training and noted that it would be beneficial for health visitors to understand the process. The flyer currently specifies staff that are part of the rapid Response training, there is more work needed to look at the context of the training and who would benefit from the training.

The Chair noted that we need assurance from agencies that either in-house training is available or that they will need to access the SSCB training. We need to be clear about the professionals that are being targeted as a priority to attend this training.

Ms Hubber highlighted that paramedics are usually the first professionals to arrive on site, the North West ambulance service is commissioned by Blackpool CCG. Police Officers would also attend when a child has died, is there a special police team or could it be a police officer from any team that arrives on the scene. The SSCB probably needs to audit who is doing what and where, currently we are not confident that agencies are providing the right training. Need to contact a number of agencies to ask for assurance that sufficient staff members have accessed the right level of training. Ms Hubber commented that all social workers could have a child on their case load that dies, it is not feasible to expect all social workers to attend this training, however all managers should.

The Chair surmised that we do think the training is required, before it is offered again we want to check the level of need and identify if there are any gaps. We also need to revisit the flyer so we target the right people in the right language; Ms Patel has offered to help with this. The course will be offered again with some leverage from commissioners and SSCB partners to encourage take up of the course. Mick Lay, as Chair of CDOP will be asked to contact the NWAS to be assured that they are receiving Rapid Response training.

Actions	Lead
<p>5.1 Check with agencies to ask for assurance that the training is being undertaken and identify who should be attending the training. Ms Blackburn and Ms Thorpe will check with commissioned services to ensure that they are aware of the expectation to undertake rapid response training. Mick Lay, CDOP Chair will be asked to write to the ambulance service for assurance regarding rapid response training. GMP also need to provide assurance.</p>	<p>Debbie Blackburn/Francine Thorpe/GMP/Mick Lay</p>
<p>5.2 Review the course flier to ensure it targets the right people and uses the right language to encourage attendance.</p>	<p>Andrea Patel</p>
<p>5.3 Offer the course again, with leverage from commissioners and board members to encourage attendance</p>	<p>SSCB Training</p>

Business

6. Minutes of previous meeting, matters arising Chair Paper

The minutes were agreed as a true record of the meeting held on 16th December 2016.

7. Outstanding actions

a. Bolton domestic homicide review Chair Paper

The Chair noted that this was a homicide review; The Chair had a number of concerns as we were asked to take on a recommendation without seeing the report from the review. There are recommendations and comments for us to hold to account some of the services to ensure they responded to the review. These services had already responded via single agency action plans so it is unclear what is expected of the SSCB. Also, it is not clear why some of the content was in the report, particularly regarding services to the children, as it was not relevant to the review.

There has been communication with Home Office who have given feedback to Bolton the recommendations do not address all of the content of the report. The original intention of Community Safety Partnership was not to publish, however the Home Office wants the report to be published. The report could be amended to ensure the child cannot be identified; this is problematic as Bolton has already signed it off as a final version.

The Chair has added to Domestic Homicide Reviews and notification of LSCBs to the agenda of Greater Manchester Safeguarding Partnership meeting. It is unclear why SSCB were not asked to be involved with this review earlier, if adding a recommendation for SSCB then we should have been involved in the process. It was asked if there is a Greater Manchester protocol for a formal process to inform the LSCB if a domestic homicide review includes a child.

The Chair has still not received a copy of the full report; his current view is that SSCB cannot accept the recommendation. Until the SSCB has seen full report and terms of reference, they cannot understand the context of the recommendation.

Ms Seale noted that the Home Office has always been clear that DHRs are published; therefore it is good practice that the report content should not include any identifiable factors to protect the identity of the family. Ms Slack informed that she understands that a redacted report will be

prepared to ensure there is nothing to identify the child or where they live. **Ms Slack agreed** to request a copy of the redacted version of the report for SSCB members to access before it is published.

Actions	Lead
7a.1 request a copy of the redacted version of the domestic homicide review report for SSCB members to access before it is published.	Tiffany Slack

The action log was updated at the meeting and the following actions were agreed as complete:

Date	Actions	Lead	Progress
21/03/2016	Contact Tim Rumley to ask the Youth Council to come back with a proposal to get direct input from young people to the SSCB	Tiffany Slack	<p><u>11/07/2016:</u> Youth Council suggested that members of SSCB attend a Youth Council meeting. Simon Westwood, Simon Grant, Francine Thorpe, Deborah Blackburn and Cllr Walsh expressed interest in attending the Youth Council.</p> <p>Find out dates of youth council dates and distribute to arrange a convenient meeting.</p> <p><u>17/10/2016:</u> Waiting for Tim Rumley to provide dates</p> <p><u>02/11/2016:</u> Ms Bentley has contacted Tim Rumley to request dates of meetings in 2017</p> <p><u>12/12/2016:</u> Dates received. The Youth Council meet every Tuesday at Salford Civic Centre. The first Tuesday of the month is a formal meeting in the Council Chamber; the others are more informal in one of the Committee Rooms. The times are 6-8:30pm. Awaiting a response from Martyn Shaw.</p> <p><u>19/12/2016</u> Simon Westwood has suggested some dates but not had confirmation yet.</p> <p><u>25/01/2017</u> Complete. Simon attended the Youth Council 17.1.2017</p>
11/07/2016	13.2 ask Emily Edwards for a single page to identify how we are bringing each strand together, which strategies they are informing, what is the timetable and is there common agreement for any	Debbie Blackburn	<p><u>02/11/2016:</u> Ms Bentley sent an email to Ms Blackburn to request an update, with the relevant extract from July's minutes.</p>

Date	Actions	Lead	Progress
	learning for practitioners being identified.		

8. Coordination & Delivery Group Update **Chris Allsop** **Paper**

Ms Patel chaired the last coordination and delivery group meeting. This is a very interactive group, the main issues identified for SSCB are:

- Neighbourhood data to support schools and local work. The plan is to use Mosaic to map this data.
- Issue regarding non-attendance at training and the use of the appeals process. The appeals process should only be used for real emergencies.
- CSE profile should be linked to local training
- Administrative support for sub groups needs to be addressed. GMP has agreed to support the LIP&QA group.
- The Elective Home Education and Not in Education, Employment or Training practitioner forum pulled through learning from the case review and audit sub group, demonstrating sub groups affecting practice.
- The Voice of the Child subgroup update report highlighted good work, the engagement of young people in that group and listening to the child’s voice.
- The Anti-Bullying and E-Safety group have focussed on specific campaigns; the current campaign is on sexting.
- The Avert Team received a National Award for their Twitter account.

The Chair commented:

- That he was pleased to see a representative at the Education sub group from the Jewish Community.
- The FGM task group is moving from SSCB and The Chair thanked the group for producing the 7 minute briefing on FGM.
- Using Mosaic to map neighbourhood data is positive
- The Seminar on Elective Home Education also needs to link to work on the guidance for children missing from education. This is still a concern and we have a duty to communicate to the public the risk of elective home education. There is an increase in people selecting home education; there is concern if this choice is being made to keep children away from services. Rabbi Grant noted that the local authority do not have right to enter the house if a child is electively home educated. Salford does not have staff specifically available to check if the child is receiving an education at home. The chair informed that Salford does have processes in place for home education. It was also noted that there is not a set curriculum to follow for home educated children.

9. SCR – verbal update **Sharon Hubber** **Verbal update**

Ms Hubber informed that this group last met on Friday and updated:

- The Child R SCR report was included in the papers for this meeting, the case review and audit sub group will work on the recommendations and action plan.
- Case 2017-01 has been referred in. A two year old girl subject to a Care Order which was about to be discharged when the parents were arrested for trafficking. The parents were bailed and not charged. The local authority could not remove the child, as there was nothing to suggest it was true or that it had any impact on the child. Parents have now left the country with the child. The case review and audit sub group recommend a case review. The family were seen at Liverpool trying to leave the country and turned back, they then travelled to Scotland and are now in Tehran. The LA are to apply to make her a ward of court and work is ongoing regarding this. If she is made a ward of court the local authority

can work with the embassy. There were a number of times where the gap could have been closed, but agencies did not speak to each other. Ms Hubber assured that the child is well cared for and there is nothing to suggest she is suffering any harm.

- Case 2016-06: The screening panel recommended a local review of this case, the National Panel have agreed with this recommendation. Looking to identify a lead reviewer and draft terms of reference for the review.
- The Annual Case Review Learning event will be held on 31st March 2017 and will get messages out to frontline staff about what the group does and the lessons that have been learnt over the last year.
- Case 2015-10/Child R: The lead professional working with this family still needs to be identified to allow the report to be shared with the parents. Ms Patel informed that discussions have taken place and it has been agreed the lead professional should be from health. Clare Kelly is aware of this and is addressing it, she is on leave at the moment. Ms Hubber noted that we need someone to escalate, and suggested writing as chair of case review and audit sub group next week if the lead professional is not in place. **Ms Slack agreed** to email The Chair on 24th February 2017 to update on the situation.

Actions	Lead
9.1 email The Chair on 24 th February 2017 to update on the situation regarding the lead professional for Child R.	Tiffany Slack

10. SSCB budget

Chair Verbal update

The Chair informed that the SSCB spending this year is all within budget; this is partly due to savings made by not filling the performance and participation post. There are still budget pressures from next year onwards; CCG has agreed to contribute the additional funding, GMP have said the same level of funding will be given to Greater Manchester and it is not yet clear how this will be separated out. Salford City Council has said the the local authority will meet their obligations under this. Ms Seale informed that the National Probation Service expect contributions to stay as they are.

The issue that has not been resolved is SCRs and we need to identify how they will be funded going forward. There will need to be some negotiation to meet the cost of these.

11. JTAI Action Plan Update

Jeanette Staley

Paper

Ms Staley informed that the lead board JTAI Action Plan is the Community Safety Partnership, the SSCB need to understand the work of Community Safety Partnership.

Ms Staley attended this meeting to discuss how SSCB wants to remain informed about the progress made with the action plan. Over the last year partnership boards have developed an inter-board protocol. Domestic abuse is led by Community Safety Partnership, during the JTAI inspection Ms Hubber took the lead it has been agreed that Community Safety Partnership should take the lead now and monitor the action plan progress.

The Action Plan included with the papers for this meeting was submitted to Ofsted on 31st January 2017. Previous versions of the action plan were shared with various partnership boards. It is expected that the plan is implemented and progress is monitored. The Community Safety Partnership is planning to set up a group similar to the SSCB Coordination and Delivery group to have oversight of the work of the Community Safety Partnership sub groups. They expect to receive 6 monthly updates on the progress of the action plan, with exception and issues being reports more frequently.

Ms Thorpe clarified that the inspection was not an Ofsted inspection; it was a multi agency JTAI. There are governance arrangements, are some issues for SSCB, particularly how we track the

outcome of services for children. Ms Staley confirmed that she is happy for the Community Safety Partnership to take the lead and keep SSCB in the loop at all stages to ensure they are informed of any issues regarding children.

Ms Slack informed that the SSCB had developed their own action plan; a few of the actions in that plan need to be done in conjunction with Community Safety Partnership and asked if there is a separate Community Safety Partnership action plan. The paper submitted to this meeting shows additional actions have been added to the SSCB plan. Ms Slack asked for assurance that actions not on the SSCB action plan are included on the Community Safety Partnership plan for monitoring. Ms Staley confirmed that all of the actions in submitted plan will be monitored by the Community Safety Partnership. The Chair noted that there may be specific actions that SSCB want to monitor and we can request an update on these. There is one JATAI action plan.

Dr Clancy asked if we can have a quarterly update on progress to SSCB. **Ms Staley agreed** to share with the SSCB the regular 6 monthly updates and the exception reports. **The Chair** suggested agreeing a reporting system with Community Safety Partnership to ensure that the same reports are submitted to SSCB as are submitted to Community Safety Partnership. **This process was agreed.**

Ms Slack offered support with Sharepoint to assist Community Safety Partnership to monitor action plans.

Key Local and National Issues

12. Feedback from:

a. GMSP Deborah Blackburn Verbal update

It was agreed to circulate a copy of the minutes of the last GMSP meeting.

b. Children & Young People’s Trust Board Info Only Paper

It was noted that Youth Day 2017 is on 11th August.

c. Health & Wellbeing Board Info Only Paper

There is reference to the inter-board safeguarding protocol; we need to know if it did go back in January. The Chair informed that a meeting has been arranged for the Chairs of these Boards in April 2017.

Ms Seale informed that the National Probation Service does not have a place on this Board, and asked how the Emotional Health and Wellbeing feed into this board. There isn’t currently a formal governance link however the theme for the next SSCB meeting is Emotional health. Ms Seale suggested developing some links so we can look at any overlap with child mental health. **The Chair agreed** to take this to the Joint Chairs meeting in April to discuss further.

Actions	Lead
12.1 Raise the need for formal governance links for emotional health and well being at the Joint Chairs meeting arranged for April 2017.	Simon Westwood

Key Information: Items Circulated for Information

Items	Actions	Contact details
13. Child R Overview Report	Information	sscb@salford.gov.uk
14. SARC Training for Social Workers	Action	Kalpesh.Dixit@srft.nhs.uk
Dr Dixit raised this topic, he has asked for frontline workers to be trained to understand the issues the sexual abuse referral centre (SARC) deals with. Ms Shannon agreed to discuss this with further with Dr Dixit.		
Actions	Lead	

	14.1 Discuss the SARC training for social workers with Dr Dixit	Lana Shannon	
15. Learning and Development Strategy		Information	sscbtraining@salford.gov.uk

Standing Items

16. Items to refer to young people **Open N/A**

It was agreed to take back the discussion around sexting and feedback their views.

17. Items to evidence challenge and/or good practice **Open N/A**

The family assessment is very different and focuses on engaging families; this is a real change and promotes asset based work.

Salford is the only area to have completed the CSE profile and it was noted that the training of taxi drivers is good practice

It was noted that there is an under representation of BME as victims of CSE, it is not known why and consideration needs to be given to provide targeted information to some communities.

The last three rapid response training events have been cancelled due to low take-up of places. The SSCB probably needs to audit who is doing what and where, currently we are not confident that agencies are providing the right training.

Domestic Homicide Review Bolton -Until the SSCB has seen full report and terms of reference, they cannot understand the context of the recommendation.

18. AOB:

Verification of Training Coordinators: Ms Seale informed that the SSCB Training Coordinators currently verify training course, this can be time consuming. There has been some discussion at strategic training group around providing verification of courses for profit making organisations and whether or not there should be a charge for the verification. **It was agreed** to discuss this further at Coordination and Delivery and bring suggestions back to SSCB.

Actions	Lead
18.1 Discuss verification of training courses and whether there should be a charge for this service at Coordination and Delivery.	Manjit Seale

Dates and themes of future SSCB meetings

Date	Report Deadline	Theme	SSCB Lead
24/04/2017	10/04/2017	Early Help/ Emotional Health and Wellbeing	Debbie Blackburn
19/06/2017	05/06/2017	Children Affected by Domestic Abuse	Chris Allsop/ Lana Shannon
18/09/2017	04/09/2017	Complex Safeguarding (Prevent, Modern Slavery, FGM)	Debbie Blackburn/ Andrea Patel
20/11/2017	06/11/2017	Neglect/ Future Safeguarding arrangements	Sean Atkinson/ Chair

Minutes verified by: Simon Westwood, Independent SSCB Chair

Minutes verified on: 21st March 2017